

# ANTI-PLURICANONICAL SYSTEMS ON $\mathbb{Q}$ -FANO 3-FOLDS

MENG CHEN

ABSTRACT. We investigate birationality of the anti-pluricanonical map  $\varphi_{-m}$ , the rational map defined by the anti-pluricanonical system  $| -mK_X |$ , on weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout a normal projective variety  $X$  is called a *terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety* if  $X$  has at worst terminal singularities,  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial and  $-K_X$  is a nef and big  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor where  $K_X$  is the canonical Weil divisor of  $X$ . A weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety is said to be  *$\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano* if  $-K_X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ample.

According to the Minimal Model Program (MMP),  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties form a fundamental aspect in birational geometry.

Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold. The number  $g(X) := h^0(X, \omega_X^{[-1]}) - 2$  is usually called the genus of  $X$ . Denote by  $r_X$  the Cartier index of  $X$ . For a positive integer  $m$ , we define  $| -mK_X |$  to be the natural closure of the complete linear system  $| -mK_{X^0} |$  where  $X^0 := X \setminus X_{\text{Sing}}$ . Whenever  $P_{-m} := h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{[-m]}) \geq 2$ , the rational map  $\varphi_{-m}$  corresponding to  $| -mK_X |$  gives rise to the so-called “anti-canonical geometry” of  $X$ . Therefore a natural interesting question is to find a practical number  $m$  such that  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational onto its image. Such a number  $m$  (independent of  $X$ ) exists due to the boundedness theorem which was proved by Kawamata [9] (for Picard number one case) and by Kollár-Miyaoka-Mori-Takagi [12] (for general case). Even though, it is very interesting to ask the following:

**Problem 1.** Can one find an optimal constant  $c$  such that  $\varphi_{-c}$  is birational onto the image for all weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds?

When  $X$  is Gorenstein (i.e.  $r_X = 1$ ), one may take  $c = 5$  according to Ando [2]. In fact, considerable classification has been done for Fano 3-folds (see, for example, [6], [7], [8], [14], [15], [16], [18], [24] and so on). When  $X$  is non-Gorenstein, Problem 1 is open in general.

---

The author was supported by National Outstanding Young Scientist Foundation (#10625103) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Key Project: #10731030).

In contrast to  $\varphi_m$  or  $|mK_X|$ ,  $\varphi_{-m}$  shows some pathological nature. For instance, the birationality behavior of  $\varphi_{-m}$  is not birationally invariant! This often makes the situation be more complicated.

The aim of this note is to build an effective mechanic to study the birationality of  $\varphi_{-m}$  on weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds. Our main technical theorem is **Theorem 3.5**, which has direct applications to various situations in Section 4 (see Theorems 4.1, 4.2, 4.5). In fact, since  $P_{-8} > 1$  by [3, Theorem 1.1 (ii)], the rational map  $\varphi_{-8} : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$  can be properly defined. Thus the geometry of  $X$  can be detected by studying  $\varphi_{-8}$ . Here is a direct consequence of our general arguments in Section 4:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $r_X > 1$ . Then  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational onto its image under one of the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\dim \overline{\varphi_{-8}(X)} = 3$  and  $m \geq 32$ ;
- (ii)  $\dim \overline{\varphi_{-8}(X)} = 2$  and  $m \geq \max\{2r_X + 16, 48\}$ ;
- (iii)  $\dim \varphi_{-8}(X) = 1$  and

$$m \geq \begin{cases} 16, & r_X = 2; \\ 20, & r_X = 3; \\ 3r_X + 10, & r_X \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 1.2.** By virtue of the boundedness theorem for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds,  $r_X$  is upper bounded. Therefore Theorem 1.1 has actually obtained a universal constant  $c$  with  $\varphi_{-c}$  birational. However such a constant  $c$  might still be a little bit far from optimal.

Restricting our interest to  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds with Picard number one, what we can prove is slightly favorable. Note that  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds with Picard number one form an important class since the 3-dimensional MMP (see, for instance, [11], [13], [21] and [25]) says that any terminal object (after running MMP) of a given smooth projective variety is either a Mori fiber space (whose fibers are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano with Picard number one) or a minimal 3-fold. Furthermore, many important works relating to the classification of non-Gorenstein  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds with Picard number one have been achieved so far (see [1], [20], [27], [28], [31] and others for a sample of references). Here we would especially like to mention a conjecture of Reid: a general member  $D \in |-K_X|$  is a K3 surface for a “general”  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold of Picard number one. The conjecture in Fano case was proved by Reid and Shokurov [26], although it is still open in general.

In order to make a concise statement of our result, we say that a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold  $X$  is *standard* if

- the Picard number  $\rho(X) = 1$ ;
- $g(X) \geq 0$ , a general member  $D \in |-K_X|$  is irreducible and reduced, and a smooth birational model  $S$  of  $D$  has non-negative Kodaira dimension, i.e.  $\kappa(S) \geq 0$ .

Roughly speaking, if Reid's conjecture holds true, then a “general” terminal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with Picard number one is standard.

Here is our another result:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a standard  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold. Then  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational for all  $m \geq 6$ .*

As mentioned earlier, the linear system  $|-mK_X|$  shows a lot of pathological properties for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds. To overcome the obvious difficulties, we will extend the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor method that was used to treat 3-folds of general type. Thanks to the self-optimization function of our mechanic and to the boundedness theorem for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds, we have managed to prove the effective birationality of  $\varphi_{-m}$ . Unfortunately, this method is less effective for those  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds on which, for some integer  $l > 0$ ,  $|-lK_X|$  is composed of birationally ruled surfaces, though that kind of “bad”  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds can be regarded as “minority”.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 mainly sets up the rational map  $\varphi_{-m_0}$  and then reduces the problem to that on a nonsingular model. In Section 3, we prove our key theorem on the birationality of  $\varphi_{-m}$ . Various concrete applications will be presented in Section 4. In Appendix, we prove  $P_{-m} > 0$  for all  $m \geq 6$  on any  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds, which is applied to prove our main theorems.

Sometimes, we use the notation  $\mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)$  to denote the reflexive sheaf  $\omega_X^{[m]}$ . The symbol  $\sim$  always means linear equivalence while  $\equiv$  represents numerical equivalence.

I would like to thank Jungkai A. Chen, Hélène Esnault, Christopher D. Hacon, Yujiro Kawamata, Janos Kollár and De-Qi Zhang either for stimulating discussions or for their generous helps.

## 2. Preliminaries and the main reduction

**2.1. Convention.** Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold. Pick a canonical divisor  $K_X$  on  $X$ . Denote by  $r_X$  the Cartier index of  $X$ , which is nothing but the minimal positive integer with  $r_X K_X$  being Cartier. For any positive integer  $m$ , the number  $P_{-m} := h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-mK_X))$  is called the *anti-plurigenus* of  $X$ . By convention,  $g := h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) - 2$  is referred to as the *genus* of  $X$ . Clearly, since  $-K_X$  is nef and big, Kawamata's vanishing theorem [11, Theorem 1-2-5] implies

$$h^i(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^i(X, K_X - K_X) = 0$$

for all  $i > 0$ . Thus  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ . Furthermore, the positive rational number  $-K_X^3$  is called the *anti-canonical volume*.

By [3, Theorem 1.1 (ii)], one has  $P_{-8} \geq 2$ . Thus, for a given weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold  $X$ , there exists a positive integer  $m_0 \leq 8$  such that  $P_{-m_0} \geq 2$ . We shall begin from studying the geometry induced by the rational map  $\varphi_{-m_0}$ .

**2.2. Set up for  $\varphi_{-m_0}$ .** We study the  $m_0$ -th anti-canonical map of  $X$ :

$$X \xrightarrow{\varphi_{-m_0}} \mathbb{P}^{P_{-m_0}-1}$$

which is not necessarily well-defined everywhere. First of all we fix an effective Weil divisor  $\hat{K}_0 \sim -m_0 K_X$ . By Hironaka's big theorem, we can take successive blow-ups  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that:

- (i)  $Y$  is nonsingular projective;
- (ii) the movable part  $|M_{-m_0}|$  of the linear system  $|\pi^*(-m_0 K_X)|$  is base point free and, consequently, the rational map  $\gamma := \varphi_{-m_0} \circ \pi$  is a morphism;
- (iii) the support of the union of  $\hat{K}_0$  and the exceptional divisors of  $\pi$  is of simple normal crossings (to secure the usage of vanishing theorems).

Let  $Y \xrightarrow{f} \Gamma \xrightarrow{s} Z$  be the Stein factorization of  $\gamma$  with  $Z := \gamma(Y) \subset \mathbb{P}^{P_{-m_0}-1}$ . In summary, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{f} & \Gamma \\ \pi \downarrow & \searrow \gamma & \downarrow s \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{-m_0}} & Z \end{array}$$

Recall that

$$\pi^*(K_X) := K_Y - \frac{1}{r_X} E_\pi$$

with  $E_\pi$  effective and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier since  $X$  has at worst terminal singularities. Equivalently,  $-K_Y = \pi^*(-K_X) - \frac{1}{r_X} E_\pi$ .

**Case  $(f_{\text{np}})$ .** If  $\dim(\Gamma) \geq 2$ , a general member  $S$  of  $|M_{-m_0}|$  is a nonsingular projective surface by Bertini's theorem. We say that  $|-m_0 K_X|$  is not composed with a pencil of surfaces.

**Case  $(f_{\text{p}})$ .** If  $\dim(\Gamma) = 1$ , then  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  since  $g(\Gamma) \leq q(Y) = q(X) := h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ . Furthermore, a general fiber  $S$  of  $f$  is an irreducible smooth projective surface by Bertini's theorem again. We may write

$$M_{-m_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{a_{m_0}} S_i \equiv a_{m_0} S$$

where  $S_i$  is a smooth fiber of  $f$  for all  $i$  and  $a_{m_0} = P_{-m_0} - 1$ . In this case, we say that  $|-m_0 K_X|$  is composed with a (rational) pencil of surfaces.

Define

$$\iota := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{Case } (f_{\text{np}}) \\ a_{m_0}, & \text{Case } (f_{\text{p}}). \end{cases}$$

Clearly, at any case,  $M_{-m_0} \equiv \iota S$  with  $\iota \geq 1$ .

**Definition 2.3.** For both Case  $(f_{\text{np}})$  and Case  $(f_{\text{p}})$ , we call  $S$  a *generic irreducible element* of  $|M_{-m_0}|$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Keep the same notation as above. The number*

$$r_X(\pi^*(-K_X)^2 \cdot S)_Y$$

*is a positive integer.*

*Proof.* Note that the number  $(\pi^*(-K_X)^2 \cdot S)$  is positive since  $(\pi^*(-K_X)^2 \cdot S)_Y = (\pi^*(-K_X)|_S)_S^2$  and  $\pi^*(-K_X)|_S$  is nef and big on  $S$ . It is also independent of the choice of  $\pi$  according to the projection formula of the intersection theory. So we may choose such a modification  $\pi$  that dominates a resolution of singularities  $\tau : \hat{W} \rightarrow X$ . Then we see  $(\pi^*(-K_X)^2 \cdot S)_Y = (\tau^*(-K_X)^2 \cdot S_1)_{\hat{W}}$  where  $S_1 = \delta_*(S)$  is a divisor on  $\hat{W}$  and  $\delta : Y \rightarrow \hat{W}$  is a birational morphism. Note, however,  $S_1$  is a generic element in an algebraic family though it is not necessarily nonsingular.

We may write  $K_{\hat{W}} = \tau^*(K_X) + \Delta_\tau$  where  $\Delta_\tau$  is an exceptional effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor over those isolated terminal singularities on  $X$ . Now, by intersection theory, we have  $(r\tau^*(-K_X) \cdot \tau^*(-K_X) \cdot S_1)_{\hat{W}} = (r\tau^*(-K_X) \cdot (-K_Z) \cdot S_1)_{\hat{W}}$  is an integer.  $\square$

The proof for the next lemma was suggested by C. D. Hacon.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $W$  be a normal projective variety on which there is an integral Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor  $D$ . Let  $h : V \rightarrow W$  be any resolution of singularities. Assume that  $E$  is an effective exceptional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $V$  with  $h^*(D) + E$  a Cartier divisor on  $V$ . Then*

$$h_*\mathcal{O}_V(h^*(D) + E) = \mathcal{O}_W(D)$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_W(D)$  is the reflexive sheaf corresponding to the Weil divisor  $D$ .

*Proof.* By [17, Lemma 2.11],  $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(\lfloor h^*(D) \rfloor) = \mathcal{O}_W(D)$ . Consequently, one has:

$$\mathcal{O}_W(D) = h_*\mathcal{O}_V(\lfloor h^*(D) \rfloor) \hookrightarrow h_*\mathcal{O}_V(h^*(D) + E) \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{O}_W(D)$$

where, for any section  $g \in h_*\mathcal{O}_V(h^*(D) + E)(U)$  over a Zariski open subset  $U \subset W$ ,  $g$  comes from a rational function  $\tilde{g}$  on  $h^{-1}(U)$  with

$$((\tilde{g}) + (h^*(D) + E))|_{h^{-1}(U)} \geq 0.$$

However, since  $\text{Codim}(W_{\text{Sing}}) \geq 2$  and by the valuation theory, one has

$$((g) + D)|_U = ((g) + D)|_{U \cap W_{\text{Sing}}} = ((\tilde{g}) + h^*(D) + E)|_{h^{-1}(U \cap W_{\text{Sing}})} \geq 0.$$

Thus  $g$  can be viewed as a section  $j(g)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_W(D)(U)$  and  $j$  is defined in this way.

Since  $j$  is identical over  $W^0 := W \setminus W_{\text{Sing}}$  and  $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(h^*(D) + E)$  is torsion free,  $j$  is an inclusion. On the other hand, pick a rational function  $\xi$  on an open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $(\xi) + D \geq 0$ . Then

$(\xi \circ h) + h^*(D) \geq 0$  and so  $(\xi \circ h) + h^*(D) + E \geq 0$ . So  $\xi$  belongs to  $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(h^*D + E)(U)$  and  $j$  is surjective. Hence  $j$  is the identity.  $\square$

**2.6. The main reduction.** Let  $\pi : Y \longrightarrow X$  be as in 2.2. Let  $m > 0$  be an integer. One has:

$$\begin{aligned} & K_Y + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil \\ &= \pi^*(K_X) + \frac{1}{r}E_\pi + \pi^*(-(m+1)K_X) + E_{m+1} \\ &= \pi^*(-mK_X) + (\frac{1}{r}E_\pi + E_{m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $E_{m+1}$  is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $Y$ . Lemma 2.5 implies

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil) = \mathcal{O}_X(-mK_X).$$

Hence  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational if and only if  $\Phi_{|K_Y + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil|}$  is birational.

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-mK_X)) &\cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor -m\pi^*(K_X) \rfloor)) \\ &\cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil)), \end{aligned}$$

we denote by  $|M_{-m}|$  the movable part of  $|\lfloor -m\pi^*(K_X) \rfloor|$ . Clearly, one has the equality:

$$-m\pi^*(K_X) = M_{-m} + F_m \quad (2.1)$$

where  $F_m$  is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor.

Another direct consequence is that we may write:

$$K_Y + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil \sim M_{-m} + N_{-m} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $N_{-m}$  is the fixed part.

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold. If*

$$P_{-m_0} > m_0 r_X (-K_X)^3 + 1$$

*for some integer  $m_0$ , then  $|-m_0 K_X|$  is not composed with a pencil.*

*Proof.* Assume  $|-m_0 K_X|$  is composed with a pencil. Keep the same notation as in 2.2. Then we have  $m_0 \pi^*(-K_X) \geq M_{-m_0} \equiv a_{m_0} S$  with  $a_{m_0} = P_{-m_0} - 1$ . Thus one has  $m_0 (-K_X)^3 \geq (P_{-m_0} - 1)(\pi^*(-K_X)^2 \cdot S) \geq \frac{1}{r_X}(P_{-m_0} - 1)$  by Lemma 2.4, a contradiction. We are done.  $\square$

### 3. The key theorem

Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold on which  $P_{-m_0} \geq 2$  for some integer  $m_0 > 0$ . Keep the same notation as in 2.2. Pick a generic irreducible element  $S$  of  $|M_{-m_0}|$ . Suppose we have already a base point free linear system  $|G|$  on  $S$ .

**3.1. Notations.** Denote by  $C$  a generic irreducible element of  $|G|$ . Since  $\pi^*(-K_X)|_S$  is nef and big, there definitely exists a rational number  $\varrho > 0$  such that

$$\pi^*(-K_X)|_S - \varrho C$$

is numerically equivalent to an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $S$ . Define

$$\zeta := (\pi^*(-K_X) \cdot C)_Y = (\pi^*(-K_X)|_S \cdot C)_S$$

which will be the key quantity accounting for the birationality of  $\varphi_{-m}$ . Clearly, since  $r_X K_X$  is a Cartier divisor, one has

$$\zeta \geq \frac{1}{r_X}. \quad (3.1)$$

We define two more quantities as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &:= (m+1 - \frac{m_0}{\iota} - \frac{1}{\varrho})\zeta; \\ \varepsilon_0 &:= \lceil \varepsilon \rceil. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $P_{-m_0} \geq 2$  for some positive integer  $m_0$ . Assume that  $|G|$  is a base point free linear system on a generic irreducible element  $S$  of  $|M_{-m_0}|$ . Let  $m > 0$  be an integer. Keep the same notation as above. Then the inequality:*

$$\zeta \geq \frac{2g(C) - 2 + \varepsilon_0}{m}$$

holds under one of the following conditions:

- (i)  $g(C) > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 1$ ;
- (ii)  $g(C) = 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 2$ .

*Proof.* Equality (2.1) gives:

$$m_0 \pi^*(-K_X) = M_{-m_0} + F_{m_0}$$

for some effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $F_{m_0}$ . For the given integer  $m > 0$ , one has:

$$|K_Y + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) - \frac{1}{\iota}F_{m_0} \rceil| \subset |K_Y + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil|. \quad (3.2)$$

Under the assumption  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor

$$(m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) - \frac{1}{\iota}F_{m_0} - S \equiv (m+1 - \frac{m_0}{\iota})\pi^*(-K_X)$$

is nef and big and thus  $H^1(Y, K_Y + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) - \frac{1}{\iota}F_{m_0} \rceil - S) = 0$  by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem [10, 30]. Hence one has the surjective map:

$$H^0(Y, K_Y + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) - \frac{1}{\iota}F_{m_0} \rceil) \longrightarrow H^0(S, K_S + L_m) \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$L_m := (\lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) - \frac{1}{\iota}F_{m_0} \rceil - S)|_S \geq \lceil \mathcal{L}_m \rceil$$

and  $\mathcal{L}_m := ((m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) - \frac{1}{\iota}F_{m_0} - S)|_S$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\pi^*(-K_X)|_S \equiv \varrho C + H$$

for an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $H$  on  $S$ . Thus the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor

$$\mathcal{L}_m - \frac{1}{\varrho}H - C \equiv \varepsilon\pi^*(-K_X)|_S$$

is nef and big and, by the vanishing theorem again,

$$H^1(S, K_S + \lceil \mathcal{L}_m - \frac{1}{\varrho}H \rceil - C) = 0.$$

Therefore, one has the following surjective map:

$$H^0(S, K_S + \lceil \mathcal{L}_m - \frac{1}{\varrho}H \rceil) \longrightarrow H^0(C, K_C + D_m) \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$D_m := \lceil \mathcal{L}_m - \frac{1}{\varrho}H - C \rceil|_C \geq \lceil \mathcal{D}_m \rceil$$

and  $\mathcal{D}_m := (\mathcal{L}_m - \frac{1}{\varrho}H - C)|_C$  with  $\deg \lceil \mathcal{D}_m \rceil \geq \varepsilon_0$ .

Now under the situations (i) and (ii),  $|K_C + \lceil \mathcal{D}_m \rceil|$  is base point free with

$$\deg(K_C + \lceil \mathcal{D}_m \rceil) \geq 2g(C) - 2 + \varepsilon_0.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{N}_m$  the movable part of  $|K_S + \lceil \mathcal{L}_m - \frac{1}{\varrho}H \rceil|$ . Noticing the relations (3.2), (3.3) and  $|K_S + \lceil \mathcal{L}_m - \frac{1}{\varrho}H \rceil| \subset |K_S + \lceil \mathcal{L}_m \rceil|$  while applying [4, Lemma 2.7], one gets:

$$m\pi^*(-K_X)|_S \geq M_{-m_0}|_S \geq \mathcal{N}_m$$

and  $\mathcal{N}_m|_C = K_C + \lceil \mathcal{D}_m \rceil$  simply because the later one is base point free under either of the conditions.

So one has  $m\zeta \geq \deg(K_C + \lceil \mathcal{D}_m \rceil) \geq 2g(C) - 2 + \varepsilon_0$ . We are done.  $\square$

Riemann-Roch theorem on  $C$  directly implies the following:

**Corollary 3.3.** *Under the same assumption as that of Theorem 3.2, then one has:*

- (1)  $P_{-m} > 0$  whenever  $g(C) = 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 1$ ;
- (2)  $P_{-m} > 0$  whenever  $g(C) > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

From now on, we shall work on the birationality. We shall always require that the linear system  $\Lambda_m := |K_Y + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil|$  satisfies the following assumption for some integer  $m > 0$ .

### 3.4. Assumption:

- (1) The linear system  $\Lambda_m$  distinguishes different generic irreducible elements of  $|M_{-m_0}|$  (namely,  $\Phi_{\Lambda_m}(S') \neq \Phi_{\Lambda_m}(S'')$  for two different generic irreducible elements  $S', S''$  of  $|M_{-m_0}|$ ).
- (2) The linear system  $\Lambda_m|_S$  distinguishes different generic irreducible elements of the given linear system  $|G|$  on  $S$ .

Here is our key theorem:



**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $P_{-m_0} \geq 2$  for some positive integer  $m_0$ . Assume that  $|G|$  is a base point free linear system on a generic irreducible element  $S$  of  $|M_{-m_0}|$ . Let  $m > 0$  be an integer. If Assumption 3.4 is satisfied and  $\varepsilon > 2$ , then  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational onto its image.*

*Proof.* Since Assumption 3.4 (1) is satisfied, the usual birationality principle reduces the birationality of  $\varphi_{-m}$  to that of  $\Phi_{\Lambda_m}|_S$  for a generic irreducible element  $S$ . Similarly, due to Assumption 3.4 (2), one only needs to prove the birationality of  $\Phi_{\Lambda_m}|_C$  for a generic irreducible element  $C$  of  $|G|$ .

Now since one has the surjective maps (3.3) and (3.4), it is sufficient to prove that  $|K_C + \lceil \mathcal{D}_m \rceil|$  gives a birational map. Clearly this is the case whenever  $\varepsilon > 2$ , which in fact implies  $\deg(\lceil \mathcal{D}_m \rceil) \geq 3$ . We are done.  $\square$

While applying Theorem 3.5, one needs to verify Assumption 3.4 in advance. Hereby we are working on this.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $P_{-m_0} \geq 2$  for some positive integer  $m_0$ . Then Assumption 3.4 (1) is satisfied for all*

$$m \geq \begin{cases} m_0 + 6, & m_0 \geq 2 \\ 2, & m_0 = 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 5.1 in Appendix, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & K_Y + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil \\ \geq & K_Y + \lceil (m-m_0+1)\pi^*(-K_X) + M_{-m_0} \rceil \\ = & (K_Y + \lceil (m-m_0+1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil) + M_{-m_0} \\ \geq & M_{-(m-m_0)} + M_{-m_0} \geq M_{-m_0} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $m - m_0 \geq 6$  whenever  $m_0 \geq 2$  (resp.  $\geq 1$  whenever  $m_0 = 1$ ). When  $f : Y \rightarrow \Gamma$  is of type  $(f_{\text{np}})$ , [29, Lemma 2] implies that  $\Lambda_m$  can distinguish different generic irreducible elements of  $|M_{-m_0}|$ . When  $f$  is of type  $(f_{\text{p}})$ , since the rational (i.e.  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ ) pencil  $|M_{-m_0}|$  can already separate different fibers of  $f$ ,  $\Lambda_m$  can naturally distinguish different generic irreducible elements of  $|M_{-m_0}|$ .  $\square$

It is slightly more complicated to verify Assumption 3.4 (2).

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $T$  be a nonsingular projective surface on which there is a base point free linear system  $|G|$ . Let  $Q$  be an arbitrary  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $T$ . Then the linear system  $|K_T + \lceil Q \rceil + G|$  can distinguish different generic irreducible elements of  $|G|$  under one of the following conditions:*

- (i)  $K_T + \lceil Q \rceil$  is effective and  $|G|$  is not composed with an irrational pencil of curves;

- (ii)  $Q$  is nef and big,  $g(C) \geq 0$  and  $|G|$  is composed with an irrational pencil of curves;
- (iii)  $Q$  is nef and big,  $Q \cdot G > 1$ ,  $g(C) = 0$  and  $|G|$  is composed with an irrational pencil of curves.

*Proof.* The statement corresponding to (i) follows from [29, Lemma 2] and the fact that a rational pencil can automatically separate its different generic irreducible elements.

For situations (ii) and (iii), we pick a generic irreducible element  $C$  of  $|G|$ . Then, since  $h^0(S, G) \geq 2$ ,  $G \equiv sC$  for some integer  $s \geq 2$  and  $C^2 = 0$ . Denote by  $C'$  another generic irreducible element of  $|G|$ . The Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem gives the surjective map:

$$H^0(T, K_T + \lceil Q \rceil + G) \longrightarrow H^0(C, K_C + D) \oplus H^0(C', K_{C'} + D')$$

where  $D := (\lceil Q \rceil + G - C)|_C$  and  $D' := (\lceil Q \rceil + G - C')|_{C'}$  with  $\deg(D) \geq Q \cdot C > 0$ ,  $\deg(D') \geq Q \cdot C' > 0$ .

Whenever  $g(C) \geq 0$ , Riemann-Roch formula gives  $h^0(C, K_C + D) = h^0(C', K_{C'} + D') > 0$ . Thus  $|K_T + \lceil Q \rceil + G|$  can distinguish  $C$  and  $C'$ .

Whenever  $g(C) = 0$  and  $Q \cdot C > 1$ ,  $H^0(C, K_C + D) \neq 0$  and  $H^0(C', K_{C'} + D') \neq 0$ . So  $|K_T + \lceil Q \rceil + G|$  can also distinguish  $C$  and  $C'$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $P_{-m_0} \geq 2$  for some positive integer  $m_0$ . Keep the same notation as in 2.2. Take  $|G| = |M_{-m_0}|_S$  on a generic irreducible element  $S$  of  $|M_{-m_0}|$ . If  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  is of type  $(f_{np})$ , then Assumption 3.4 (2) is satisfied under one of the following conditions:*

- (1)  $g(C) > 0$  and  $m \geq \begin{cases} \max\{m_0 + 6, 2m_0\} & m_0 \geq 2 \\ 2, & m_0 = 1; \end{cases}$
- (2)  $g(C) = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 1$  and  $m \geq \begin{cases} m_0 + 6, & m_0 \geq 2 \\ 2, & m_0 = 1. \end{cases}$

*Proof.* By the relation (3.2) and the surjective map (3.3), we see  $\Lambda_m|_S \supset |K_S + L_m|$ , which will be proved to be able to distinguish different generic irreducible elements of  $|G|$ . By definition, we have  $\iota = 1$ . Since  $m_0\pi^*(-K_X) \geq M_{-m_0}$ , we may take  $\varrho = \frac{1}{m_0}$ .

Now one has the following:

$$\begin{aligned} K_S + L_m &= (K_Y)|_S + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) - F_{m_0} \rceil|_S \\ &\geq (K_Y + \lceil (m-m_0+1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil)|_S + M_{-m_0}|_S. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $|G|$  is not composed with an irrational pencil of curves,  $|K_S + L_m|$  can distinguish different generic irreducible elements provided that  $m - m_0 \geq 6$  whenever  $m_0 \geq 2$  (or that  $m \geq 2$  whenever  $m_0 = 1$ ).

Suppose  $|G|$  is composed with an irrational pencil of curves. One has:

$$\begin{aligned} K_S + L_m &\geq K_S + \lceil \mathcal{L}_m \rceil \\ &= K_S + \lceil ((m - m_0 + 1)\pi^*(-K_X) - F_{m_0})|_S \rceil \\ &\geq K_S + \lceil (m - 2m_0 + 1)\pi^*(-K_X)|_S \rceil + M_{-m_0}|_S. \end{aligned}$$

If  $g(C) > 0$ , Lemma 3.7 (ii) implies that Assumption 3.4 (2) is satisfied for  $m \geq 2m_0$ . If  $g(C) = 0$ , by Lemma 3.7 (iii), we need the condition  $\varepsilon = (m - 2m_0 + 1)\zeta = Q \cdot C > 1$ , where we may take  $Q = (m - 2m_0 + 1)\pi^*(-K_X)|_S$ .  $\square$

When  $f$  is of type  $(f_p)$ , we have the similar treatment.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold. Assume that  $P_{-m_0} \geq 2$  for some positive integer  $m_0$  and that  $|-m_1K_X|$  is not composed with a pencil of surfaces for another integer  $m_1 \geq m_0$ . Keep the same notation as in 2.2. Take  $|G| = |M_{-m_1}|_S$  on a generic irreducible element  $S$  of  $|M_{-m_0}|$ . Let  $C$  be a generic irreducible element of  $|G|$ . If  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  is of type  $(f_p)$ , then Assumption 3.4 (2) is satisfied under one of the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & g(C) > 0, \quad m \geq \begin{cases} \max\{m_1 + 6, m_0 + m_1\} & m_0 \geq 2 \\ m_1 + 1, & m_0 = 1; \end{cases} \\ (2) \quad & g(C) = 0, \quad \varepsilon > 1 \text{ and } m \geq \begin{cases} m_1 + 6, & m_0 \geq 2 \\ m_1 + 1, & m_0 = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* First, we may re-modify our  $\pi$  in 2.2 such that the movable part  $|M_{-m_1}|$  of  $|\pi^*(-m_1K_X)|$  is base point free too. Since  $m_1\pi^*(-K_X) \geq M_{-m_1}$ , we may take  $\varrho = \frac{1}{m_1}$ . Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.8, it suffices to prove that  $|K_S + L_m|$  can distinguish different generic irreducible elements of  $|G|$ .

For a suitable integer  $m > 0$ , one has the following:

$$\begin{aligned} K_S + L_m &= (K_Y)|_S + \lceil (m + 1)\pi^*(-K_X) - F_{m_0} \rceil|_S \\ &\geq (K_Y + \lceil (m - m_1 + 1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil)|_S + M_{-m_1}|_S. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $|G|$  is not composed with an irrational pencil of curves,  $|K_S + L_m|$  can distinguish different irreducible elements provided that  $K_Y + \lceil (m - m_1 + 1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil$  is effective, i.e.  $m - m_1 \geq 6$  whenever  $m_0 \geq 2$  (or  $m \geq m_1 + 1$  whenever  $m_0 = 1$ ).

Assume  $|G|$  is composed with an irrational pencil of curves. One has:

$$\begin{aligned} K_S + L_m &\geq K_S + \lceil \mathcal{L}_m \rceil \\ &= K_S + \lceil ((m - m_0 + 1)\pi^*(-K_X) - F_{m_0})|_S \rceil \\ &\geq K_S + \lceil (m - m_0 - m_1 + 1)\pi^*(-K_X)|_S \rceil + M_{-m_1}|_S. \end{aligned}$$

If  $g(C) > 0$ , Lemma 3.7(ii) implies that Assumption 3.4 (2) is satisfied for  $m \geq m_0 + m_1$ . If  $g(C) = 0$ , by Lemma 3.7(iii), we need the

condition  $\varepsilon = (m - m_0 - m_1 + 1)\zeta = Q \cdot C > 1$ , where we may take  $Q = (m - m_0 - m_1 + 1)\pi^*(-K_X)|_S$ . We are done.  $\square$

#### 4. Applications

In this section, we shall present some concrete applications on the birationality of  $\varphi_{-m}$  using Theorem 3.5. In practice, we take the smallest integer  $\hat{m}_0 := \hat{m}_0(X)$  with  $P_{-\hat{m}_0} \geq 2$ . Such a number  $\hat{m}_0$  is uniquely determined by  $X$  and  $\hat{m}_0 \leq 8$  by [3, Theorem 1.1 (ii)].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold. Assume  $\dim \varphi_{-\hat{m}_0}(X) = 3$ . Then  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational onto its image for all  $m \geq 4\hat{m}_0$ . In particular,  $|-mK_X|$  gives a birational map for  $m \geq 32$ .*

*Proof.* Keep the same notation as in 2.2. We have  $\iota = 1$ . Take  $|G| = |M_{-\hat{m}_0}|_S$  on a generic irreducible element  $S$  of  $|M_{-\hat{m}_0}|$ . Clearly, we may take  $\varrho = \frac{1}{\hat{m}_0}$ . Since, by assumption,  $\Phi_{|M_{-\hat{m}_0}|_S}$  maps a generic irreducible element  $C$  of  $|G|$  onto a curve, Riemann-Roch formula and Clifford's theorem on  $C$  gives

$$\hat{m}_0\pi^*(-K_X) \cdot C \geq M_{-\hat{m}_0}|_S \cdot C \geq \begin{cases} 2, & g(C) > 0 \\ 1, & g(C) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

We prove the theorem according to the value of  $g(C)$ .

Assume  $g(C) > 0$ . We have seen  $\zeta \geq \frac{2}{\hat{m}_0}$  by inequality (4.1). By Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 3.8, Assumption 3.4 is satisfied for

$$m \geq \begin{cases} \max\{\hat{m}_0 + 6, 2\hat{m}_0\} & \hat{m}_0 \geq 2 \\ 2, & \hat{m}_0 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Take any  $m \geq 3\hat{m}_0$ . Then  $\varepsilon \geq 2 + \frac{2}{\hat{m}_0} > 2$ . Thus Theorem 3.5 implies that  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational for all  $m \geq 3\hat{m}_0$  and  $\hat{m}_0 \neq 2$ . For  $\hat{m}_0 = 2$ , we need to set  $m \geq 8$  to satisfy  $m \geq \hat{m}_0 + 6$ .

Assume  $g(C) = 0$ . We have already seen  $\zeta \geq \frac{1}{\hat{m}_0}$  by inequality (4.1). Take any  $m \geq 4\hat{m}_0$ . Then  $\varepsilon > 2$ . Again Propositions 3.6, 3.8 imply that  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational for all  $m \geq 4\hat{m}_0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $r_X > 1$ . Assume  $\dim \varphi_{-\hat{m}_0}(X) = 2$ . Denote by  $C$  a generic irreducible element of  $|G| := |M_{-\hat{m}_0}|_S$ . Then  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational onto its image under one of the following conditions:*

- (1)  $g(C) > 0$ ,  $m \geq 6\hat{m}_0$ ;
- (2)  $g(C) = 0$ ,  $m \geq 2\hat{m}_0 + 2r_X$ .

*Proof.* Again we have  $\iota = 1$  and may take  $\varrho = \frac{1}{\hat{m}_0}$ . Clearly  $|G|$  is composed with a pencil of curves.

(1) Assume  $g(C) > 0$ . If we take a sufficiently large  $m = m' > 4\hat{m}_0$  such that  $\varepsilon = (m' + 1 - 2\hat{m}_0)\zeta > 1$  (thus  $\varepsilon_0 \geq 2$ ), then Theorem 3.3 implies  $\zeta \geq \frac{2}{m'}$ . Take  $m = m' - 1$ . Then  $\varepsilon \geq (m' - 2\hat{m}_0)\frac{2}{m'} > 1$ .

Theorem 3.3 again gives  $\zeta \geq \frac{2}{m'-1}$ . This means, inductively, that  $\zeta \geq \frac{2}{4\hat{m}_0} = \frac{1}{2\hat{m}_0}$ .

Whenever  $m \geq 6\hat{m}_0$ , then Assumption 3.4 is naturally satisfied by Propositions 3.6 and 3.8. On the other hand,  $\varepsilon \geq (6\hat{m}_0 + 1 - 2\hat{m}_0)\frac{1}{2\hat{m}_0} > 2$ . Theorem 3.5 implies that  $\varphi_m$  is birational.

(2) Assume  $g(C) = 0$ . Since we have  $\zeta \geq \frac{1}{r_X}$ , we may take  $m \geq 2\hat{m}_0 + 2r_X$  and then  $\varepsilon \geq (m + 1 - 2\hat{m}_0)\zeta > 2$ . When  $r_X > 1$ , Theorem 3.5, Propositions 3.6 and 3.8 imply that  $\varphi_m$  is birational for all  $m \geq 2\hat{m}_0 + 2r_X$ .  $\square$

Especially, when  $\hat{m}_0 = 1$ , we have the following:

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a standard  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold. Then  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational for all  $m \geq 6$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\hat{m}_0 = 1$ . According to [1, Theorem 2.18],  $|-K_X|$  has no fixed parts and is not composed with a pencil of surfaces. Since  $X$  is standard,  $S$  is not fibred by rational curves. Thus we have  $g(C) > 0$ . Now the result follows directly from Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 (1).  $\square$

From now on within this section, we study the most difficult case:  $\dim \overline{\varphi_{-\hat{m}_0}(X)} = 1$ . First, we need to look for a number  $m_1 > 0$  such that  $|-m_1 K_X|$  is not composed with a pencil of surfaces.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $r_X > 1$ . Then  $|-m_1 K_X|$  is not composed with a pencil of surfaces under one of the following situations:*

- (1)  $r = 2$ ,  $m_1 = 4$ ;
- (2)  $r = 3$ ,  $m_1 = 6$ ;
- (3)  $r \geq 4$ ,  $m_1 = r + 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\rho : V \rightarrow X$  be a resolution of singularities of  $X$ . According to Lemma 2.5 and Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing theorem, for all positive integer  $m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{-m} := P_{-m}(X) &= h^0(V, K_V + \lceil (m+1)\rho^*(-K_X) \rceil) \\ &= \chi(V, \mathcal{O}_V(K_V + \lceil (m+1)\rho^*(-K_X) \rceil)). \end{aligned}$$

Write  $K_V = \rho^*(K_X) + \Delta$  where  $\Delta$  is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor and is  $\rho$ -exceptional. Thus  $\rho(\Delta)$  is the set of singularities on  $X$ . One gets  $-K_V = \rho^*(-K_X) - \Delta$ . For any given  $m > 0$ , write  $m = nr + t$  with  $n \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq t < r = r_X$ . By Riemann-Roch formula on  $V$  ([5, P. 437]) and setting  $D := K_V + \lceil (m+1)\rho^*(-K_X) \rceil$ , one has:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{-m} &= \frac{1}{12}D \cdot (D - K_V) \cdot (2D - K_V) + \frac{1}{12}D \cdot c_2(V) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_V) \\ &= \frac{1}{12}m(m+1)(2m+1)(-K_X)^3 + A \cdot n + C(t) \end{aligned}$$

where  $A := \frac{r}{12}\rho^*(-K_X) \cdot c_2(V)$  and  $C(t)$  is a constant only depending on  $t$ . Fix a number  $t < r$ ,  $Q_t(n) := P_{-(nr+t)}$  can be viewed as a polynomial

in terms of  $n$ . Then we have

$$Q_t(n) = \hat{Q}_t(n) + A \cdot n + C(t)$$

where  $\hat{Q}_t(n) = \frac{1}{12}(nr+t)(nr+t+1)(2nr+2t+1)(-K_X)^3$ .

For  $n \geq 1$  or  $n = 0$  and  $t \geq 2$ , one has:

$$Q_t(n) \geq 0 \quad (4.2)$$

For  $n \leq -1$ , by [13, Corollary 5.25],  $\mathcal{O}_X((nr+t)K_X) := \omega_X^{[nr+t]}$  is Cohen-Macaulay and thus Serre duality gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X((nr+t)K_X)) &= -\chi(X, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_X((nr+t)K_X), \omega_X)) \\ &= -\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X((1-nr-t)K_X)) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to the fact that  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_X((nr+t)K_X), \omega_X)$  is reflexive (cf. [19, P. 150, Lemma 1.1.12]). Now the vanishing theorem [11, Theorem 1-2-5] gives:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t(n) &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_X((nr+t)K_X)) \\ &= -\chi(\mathcal{O}_X((1-nr-t)K_X)) = -P_{1-nr-t} \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Let us estimate the lower bounds of both  $A$  and  $C(t)$ . For any  $r, t$ , one has, by inequalities (4.2) and (4.3),

$$A + C(t) \geq -\hat{Q}_t(1) \geq -\frac{1}{12}(r+t)(r+t+1)(2r+2t+1)(-K_X)^3 \quad (4.4)$$

$$A - C(t) \geq \hat{Q}_t(-1) \geq \frac{1}{12}(-r+t)(-r+t+1)(-2r+2t+1)(-K_X)^3 \quad (4.5)$$

which imply:

$$A \geq -\frac{1}{12}(2r^2 + 6t^2 + 6t + 1)r(-K_X)^3. \quad (4.6)$$

**Case 1.** Assume  $t \geq 2$ .

Clearly, one has  $r \geq 3$ . Then  $Q_t(0) = P_{-t} \geq 0$  gives:

$$C(t) \geq -\frac{1}{12}t(t+1)(2t+1)(-K_X)^3.$$

Thus (4.5) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\geq \frac{1}{12}\{-2r^2 + (6t+3)r - (6t^2 + 6t + 1)\}r(-K_X)^3 \\ &= \frac{1}{12}\{-6t^2 + (6r-6)t + (-2r^2 + 3r - 1)\}r(-K_X)^3 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $2 \leq t < r$ . Noting that  $A$  is a constant independent of  $t$ , one should have:

$$A \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{12}(-\frac{1}{2}r^2 + \frac{1}{2})r(-K_X)^3, & \text{taking } t = \frac{r-1}{2} \text{ when } r \geq 5 \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{1}{12}(-\frac{1}{2}r^2 - 1)r(-K_X)^3, & \text{taking } t = \frac{r}{2} \text{ when } r \geq 4 \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

With the above inequalities, we are able to bound  $P_{-m}$  from below. In fact, we have

$$P_{-(r+2)} = Q_2(1) \geq \frac{1}{8}(r^2 + 10r + 24)r(-K_X)^3.$$

Clearly,  $P_{-(r+2)} > (r+2)r(-K_X)^3 + 1$  whenever  $r \geq 4$ . Thus Lemma 2.7 implies that  $|-(r+2)K_X|$  is not composed with a pencil when  $r \geq 4$  and this proves (3).

**Case 2.** Assume  $t = 0$ .

Inequalities (4.4) and (4.6) give:

$$P_{-nr} \geq \frac{1}{12}(n^2 - 1)(2nr + 3)r^2(-K_X)^3.$$

Clearly  $P_{-2r} > 2r \cdot r(-K_X)^3 + 1$  for  $r = 2, 3$ . Thus Lemma 2.7 implies that  $| -2rK_X |$  is not composed with a pencil when  $r = 2$  or  $3$ . This proves (1) and (2).

Besides, it is easy to get the lower bound of  $P_{-(nr+t)}$  for a given pair  $(n, t)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $r_X > 1$ . Assume  $\dim \varphi_{-\hat{m}_0}(X) = 1$  and  $| -m_1K_X |$  is not composed with a pencil for another integer  $m_1 > 0$ . Then  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational onto its image for all  $m \geq \hat{m}_0 + m_1 + 2r_X$ .*

*Proof.* By definition, we have  $\iota \geq 1$  and  $m_1 \geq \hat{m}_0$ .

Re-modify our original  $\pi$  in 2.2 such that the movable part  $M_{-m_1}$  of the linear system  $|\pi^*(-m_1K_X)|$  is base point free too. Pick a generic irreducible element  $S$  of  $|M_{-\hat{m}_0}|$  and take  $|G| := |M_{-m_1}|_S$ . Then we have  $\varrho = \frac{1}{m_1}$ . Recall that we have seen  $\zeta \geq \frac{1}{r_X}$ .

Assume, for an integer

$$m \geq \begin{cases} \max\{m_1 + 6, \hat{m}_0 + m_1\} & \hat{m}_0 \geq 2 \\ m_1 + 1, & \hat{m}_0 = 1, \end{cases}$$

one has already  $\varepsilon > 1$ . Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 3.9 imply that Assumption 3.4 is satisfied. In practice, take any  $m \geq \hat{m}_0 + m_1 + 2r$ , which naturally satisfies the above requests. Furthermore  $\varepsilon \geq (m + 1 - \hat{m}_0 - m_1)\zeta > 2$ . Consequently, Theorem 3.5 implies that  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational for  $m \geq \hat{m}_0 + m_1 + 2r_X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $r_X > 1$ . Assume  $\dim \varphi_{-\hat{m}_0}(X) = 1$ . Then  $\varphi_{-m}$  is birational for*

$$m \geq \begin{cases} \hat{m}_0 + 8, & r = 2; \\ \hat{m}_0 + 12, & r = 3; \\ \hat{m}_0 + 3r + 2, & r \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Set

$$m_1 := \begin{cases} 2r, & \text{if } r = 2, 3; \\ r + 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The statement follows directly from Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 4.5.  $\square$

If one does not request the “stable” birationality, then the slightly better result can be obtained as follows.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $X$  be a weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $r_X > 1$ . Then  $\varphi_{-(\hat{m}_0+3r-1)}$  is birational onto its image.*

*Proof.* Take  $m = \hat{m}_0 + 3r - 1$ . When  $r_X \geq 3$ , we have  $P_{-(m-\hat{m}_0)} > 0$  by Corollary 5.1. When  $r_X = 2$ , we have  $P_{-(m-\hat{m}_0)} = P_{-5} > 0$  by Proposition 4.4. Thus the proof of Proposition 3.6 implies that  $|K_Y + \lceil (m+1)\pi^*(-K_X) \rceil|$  can distinguish different irreducible elements of  $|M_{-\hat{m}_0}|$ . Thus we only need to prove the birationality of  $|K_S + \lceil \mathcal{L}_m \rceil|$  for a generic  $S$ . Now since

$$\mathcal{L}_m = 3r\pi^*(-K_X)|_S = 3L$$

and  $L := r\pi^*(-K_X)|_S$  is a nef and big Cartier divisor on  $S$  with  $L^2 \geq r \cdot r(\pi^*(-K_X)^2 \cdot S) \geq 2$  (see Lemma 2.4), Reider’s theorem ([23]) says that  $|K_S + 3L|$  gives a birational map. We are done.  $\square$

## 5. Appendix: Anti-plurigenus

Here we use all those formulae and inequalities and keep the same notation as in [3, Section 2]. The following result was used to prove our main results in this paper.

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let  $X$  be a weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold. Then  $P_{-m} > 0$  for all  $m \geq 6$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $B := B(X)$ , the virtual basket of singularities of Reid [22]. We shall study the formal basket  $\mathbf{B} := \{B, P_{-1}\}$  which was defined in [3, Section 2]. Then we know, from [3, 2.3], that  $-K^3(\mathbf{B}) = -K_X^3 > 0$  and  $P_{-m}(\mathbf{B}) = P_{-m}(X)$  for all  $m \geq 1$ .

**Case 1.** Assume  $P_{-2} > 0$ .

If  $P_{-5} > 0$ , then the statement is naturally true. So we only need to study the situation  $P_{-5} = 0$ . It then follows that  $P_{-1} = P_{-3} = 0$ .

Now  $\epsilon_5 \geq 0$  gives  $2 + P_{-2} \geq 2P_{-4} + \sigma_5$ . Since, by inequality [3, (2.3)],  $P_{-4} \geq 2P_{-2} - 1$ , we see  $P_{-2} = P_{-4} = 1$  and  $\sigma_5 \leq 1$ .

First, consider the case  $\sigma_5 = 0$ . Then  $\epsilon_6 = 1 \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Thus we must have  $\sigma_5 = 1$ . Then we have  $\epsilon_5 = 0$  and

$$B^{(0)} = B^{(5)} = \{9 \times (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, r)\}, \quad r \geq 5.$$

Clearly, since  $B^{(5)}$  admits no further prime packings, we have  $B = B^{(5)} = B^{(0)}$ . Now inequality [3, (2.1)] implies  $r \geq 7$ , while inequality [3, (2.2)] gives  $r \leq 7$ . We see  $r = 7$ . Now our calculation shows:  $-K^3 = \frac{1}{42}$ ,  $P_{-6} = P_{-7} = 2$ ,  $P_{-8} = P_{-9} = 4$ ,  $P_{-10} = P_{-11} = 6$  and  $P_{-12} = 9$ . Clearly we can see  $P_{-m} > 0$  for all  $m \geq 6$ .

**Case 2.** Assume  $P_{-2} = 0$ .

According to our complete classification in [3, Theorem 3.5, Table A], there are exactly 23 cases as listed there. By the direct calculation,



we know  $P_{-m} > 0$  for all  $6 \leq m \leq 12$ . Thus it is easy to deduce  $P_{-m} > 0$  for all  $m \geq 6$ . We are done.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Alexeev, *General elephants of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds*, Compositio Math. **91** (1994), 91-116.
- [2] T. Ando, *Pluricanonical systems of algebraic varieties of general type of dimension  $\leq 5$* . Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, 1-10, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [3] J. A. Chen, M. Chen, *An optimal boundedness on weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds*, Advances in Math. **219** (2008), 2086-2104.
- [4] M. Chen, *Canonical stability in terms of singularity index for algebraic threefolds*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **13** (2001), 241-264.
- [5] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1977.
- [6] V. A. Iskovskih, *Fano 3-folds, I*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat **41** (1977). English translation in Math. USSR izv. **11** (1977), 485-527.
- [7] V. A. Iskovskih, *Fano 3-folds, II*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat **42** (1978), English translation in Math. USSR izv. **12** (1978), 469-506.
- [8] V. A. Iskovskih, Yu. G. Prokhorov, *Fano varieties*. Algebraic geometry, V, 1-247, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 47, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [9] Y. Kawamata, *Boundedness of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds*. Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra, Part 3 (Novosibirsk, 1989), 439-445, Contemp. Math., 131, Part 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [10] Y. Kawamata, *A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam's vanishing theorem*, Math. Ann. **261**(1982), 43-46.
- [11] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the Minimal Model Problem*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **10**, 1987. Algebraic Geometry, Sendai, 1985, pp. 283-360.
- [12] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, S. Mori, H. Takagi, *Boundedness of canonical  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds*, Proc. Japan Acad. **76**, Ser. A (2000), 73-77.
- [13] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, 1998, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [14] S. Mori, S. Mukai, *Classification of Fano 3-folds with  $b_2 \geq 2$* , Algebraic and Topological Theories (Kinosaki, 1984), Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1985, pp. 496-545.
- [15] S. Mukai, *Curve and symmetric spaces, I*, Amer. J. Math. **117** (1995), 1627-1644.
- [16] S. Mukai, *New development of the theory of Fano threefolds: vector bundle method and moduli problem*, Sugaku **47** (1995), 125-144, English translation in Sugaku Expositions **15** (2002), 125-150.
- [17] N. Nakayama, *Zariski-decomposition and abundance*. MSJ Memoirs, 14. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2004. xiv+277 pp.
- [18] Y. Namikawa, *Smoothing Fano 3-folds*. J. Algebraic Geom. **6** (1997), no. 2, 307-324.
- [19] C. Okonek, M. Schneider, H. Spindler, *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Progress in Math., 3, Birkhauser, Basel, 1978
- [20] Yu. G. Prokhorov, *The degree of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds*, Sbornik: Mathematics **198**:11 (2007), 1683-1702.
- [21] M. Reid, *Minimal models of canonical 3-folds*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **1**(1983), 131-180.
- [22] M. Reid, *Young person's guide to canonical singularities*, Proc. Symposia in pure Math. **46** (1987), 345-414.

- [23] I. Reider, *Vector bundles of rank 2 and linear systems on algebraic surfaces*, Ann. Math. **127**(1988), 309-316.
- [24] V. V. Shokurov, *The existence of a straight line on Fano 3-fold*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat **43** (1979), 921-963, English translation in Math. USSR Izv. **15** (1980), 173-209.
- [25] V. V. Shokurov, *3-fold log flips*, Izv. Russ. A. N. Ser. Mat. **56** (1992), 105-203.
- [26] V. V. Shokurov, *Smoothness of a general anticanonical divisor on a Fano variety*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **14** (1980), 395-405.
- [27] K. Suzuki, *On Fano indices of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds*. Manuscripta Math. **114** (2004), no. 2, 229-246
- [28] H. Takagi, *Classification of primary  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds with anti-canonical Du Val K3 surfaces, I*, J. Alg. Geom. **15** (2006), 31-85.
- [29] S. G. Tankeev, *On  $n$ -dimensional canonically polarized varieties and varieties of fundamental type*, Izv. A. N. SSSR, Ser. Math. **35**(1971), 31-44.
- [30] E. Viehweg, *Vanishing theorems*, J. reine angew. Math. **335**(1982), 1-8.
- [31] Q. Zhang, *Rational connectedness of log  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **590** (2006), 131-142.

Institute of Mathematics, School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University,  
Shanghai, 200433, China

*E-mail address:* mchen@fudan.edu.cn